	Application No.	Applicant(s)
AL AL PART SERVE.	09/911,394	YAMAZAKI ET AL.
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mathieu D. Vargot	1732
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT R of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this of other appropriate communicat IGHTS. This application is subjection.	application. If not included ion will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. \boxtimes This communication is responsive to <u>the filing of the application</u>	ation.	
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-26</u> .		
3. \boxtimes The drawings filed on <u>25 July 2001</u> are accepted by the Ex	caminer.	
 4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority units a) All b) Some* c) None of the: Certified copies of the priority documents have Certified copies of the priority documents have Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE"	e been received. e been received in Application No. cuments have been received in the	nis national stage application from the
noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. 5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm	nitted. Note the attached EXAMINI	ER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF
INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which giv	es reason(s) why the oath or decl	aration is deficient.
6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must		
(a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached		
1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date		
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner' Paper No./Mail Date	s Amendment / Comment or in th	e Office action of
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in t	.84(c)) should be written on the dra the header according to 37 CFR 1.1	wings in the front (not the back) of 21(d).
7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT	sit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIA FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOG	L must be submitted. Note the SICAL MATERIAL.
Attachment(s)		
1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5. Notice of Informa	al Patent Application (PTO-152)
2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6. Interview Summa	
3. ☑ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/	Paper No./Mail 08), 7. ⊠ Examiner's Ame	
Paper No./Mail Date 7/25/01& 5/6/03 4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit	8. Examiner's State	ement of Reasons for Allowance
of Biological Material	9.	
		Mathieu D. Vargot Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1732

Art Unit: 1732

1.Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-26, drawn to a method for forming a film, classified in class 264, subclass 556.
- II. Claims 27-31, drawn to a film, classified in class 428, subclass 1.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and different process, such as one in which the vacuum pulled on the trailing edge of the film is counterbalanced by a positive pressure applied to the leading edge of the film—ie, the three separate decompression zones would not be required with the instant relation between them.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Ms. Pan on March 5, 2004 an election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-26. Claims 27-31 have been withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/911,394

Art Unit: 1732

2.An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes

and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided

by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be

submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

In the claims

Claims 27-31 have been cancelled

3. Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone

interview with Ms. Pan on March 5, 2004.

4. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The instant

claims are considered to be allowable over the prior art of record in that such art does

not disclose, teach or suggest the overall aspects of forming a cast film by pulling the

film to a support by providing a decompression (vacuum) area made up of at least a

middle, left and right portion and wherein the instant relationships between the degrees

of decompression in the at least three areas are met.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later

than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably

accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on

Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/911,394

Art Unit: 1732

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Metz, Jr is considered to be the closest prior art which discloses that it is known in the prior art to partition the vacuum knife into a plurality of adjacent compartments in an effort to balance the pressure across the film.. However, there is no suggestion of the instant relationships for the pressure in the compartments, which presumably would be equal. The reference goes on to disclose applying vacuum at the edges of the film. Heyer discloses a method for uniform pinning of a film by employing two vacuum zones. Nakamura et al is cited as of interest.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mathieu D. Vargot whose telephone number is 571 272-1211. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 9 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni, can be reached on 571 272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Application/Control Number: 09/911,394

Art Unit: 1732

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. Vargot March 6, 2004 M. Vaugd Mathieu D. Vargot Primary Examiner Art Unit 1732

3/6/04